



IND-EXPO CERTIFICATION LIMITED

INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS CERTIFICATION SCHEME

STAGE II AUDIT REPORT

ISO 22000:2018

GANGALAGAMUWA TEA FACTORY



INDEXPO CERTIFICATION LIMITED

INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS CERTIFICATION SCHEME STAGE II AUDIT REPORT – ISO 22000:2018

1. NAME OF ORGANIZATION: Gangalagamuwa Tea Factory (Pvt) Ltd
2. ADDRESS OF HEAD OFFICE: Rakwana Road, Weddagala, Kalawana
3. ASSESSMENT SITE/S: Same as 2
4. CONTACT DETAILS:
4.1 Name: Mr. Shanaka Samaranayake Designation: MD/ director
4.2 Tel: 0452255745 Mobile: 0714525057 Fax :
4.3 E-mail: gangalagamuwa@gmail.com
5. NO. OF EMPLOYEES: 60
6. APPLICABLE STANDARD: ISO 22000:2018
7. FILE NO. : IMSC-FSMS-159
8. NACE CODE / SUBCATEGORY: C IV
9. SCOPE OF CERTIFICATION: Activities pertaining to manufacturing of black tea
10. CONFIRMATION FOR SCOPE OF CERTIFICATION: The scope is confirmed. Signature
11. DATE OF AUDIT & Time: 30/10/2021
12. TYPE OF AUDIT: Stage II
13. AUDIT TEAM: Ms. Buddhika Sajeewani (BS) – Team Leader Mr. Mohamed Asif (MA) – Team Member



INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS CERTIFICATION SCHEME
STAGE II AUDIT REPORT – ISO 22000:2018

14. AUDIT OBJECTIVES:

The objectives of this audit were:

- to confirm that the management system conforms with all the requirements of the audit standard;
- to confirm that the organization has effectively implemented the planned management system;
- to confirm that the management system is capable of achieving the organization's policy and objectives on food safety

15. AUDIT CRITERIA: ISO 22000:2018, SLS 1266:2011, SLS 143:1999, ISO 19011, and relevant regulatory requirements

16. ANY DEVIATIONS FROM THE AUDIT PLAN AND REASONS: No any deviations from the audit plan

17. ANY SIGNIFICANT ISSUES IMPACTING ON THE AUDIT PROGRAMME: No any significant issues

18. SIGNIFICANT CHANGES, IF ANY, THAT AFFECT THE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM OF THE COMPANY SINCE THE LAST AUDIT TOOK PLACE: No any significant change

19. AUDIT FINDINGS:

19.1 Context of the Organization (4 of ISO 22000):

Understanding the organization and its context (4.1 of ISO 22000):

Organization has determined the external and internal issues that are relevant to purpose and strategic direction to achieve the expected results from the food safety management system. The organization is also having a mechanism to monitor and review those issues annually.

Understanding the needs and expectations of interested parties (4.2 of ISO 22000):

Organization has identified interested parties that can affect the food safety management system. The requirements of these interested parties have been determined by the organization. Organization has a procedure and a system of monitoring and reviewing information of those interested parties.

Determining the scope of the food safety management system (4.3 of ISO 22000):

Organization has determined its scope based on the external and internal issues, the requirement of the interested parties, the product and services offered as well as the requirements of the ISO 22000:2018 standard.

**INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS CERTIFICATION SCHEME
STAGE II AUDIT REPORT – ISO 22000:2018****Food safety management system (4.4 of ISO 22000):**

The food safety management system, comprising the procedures and their interactions, has been established, implemented, and maintained by the organization. All needed procedures have been implemented throughout the organization, including required input and expected output. The company has also built the necessary monitoring and measuring mechanisms, as well as delegated roles and authority for each need.

19.2 Leadership (5 of ISO 22000):**Management commitment (5.1 of ISO 22000):**

Top management demonstrated leadership and commitment to the company's food safety management system, and they were aware of their responsibilities to maintain an effective food safety system in accordance with the company's food safety policy and food safety objective, which were established in accordance with the company's context and strategic direction.

They have determined to promote risk-based thinking throughout the company and have taken steps to ensure that all resources required and interested in attaining business goals are available, as well as to continue to strengthen existing food safety objectives.

Policy (5.2 of ISO 22000):**Establishing the food safety policy (5.2.1 of ISO 22000):**

The company has established, implemented, and maintained a food safety policy that demonstrates adequate application of applicable regulations and continuous improvement.

Communicating the food safety policy (5.2.2 of ISO 22000):

Even though food safety policies were provided and kept as documented information, food safety policy is not effectively communicated. (NC-01)

Organizational roles, responsibilities and authorities (5.3 of ISO 22000):

Top management has delegated responsibility and authority for relevant personals

19.3 Planning (6 of ISO 22000):**Actions to address risks and opportunities (6.1 of ISO 22000):**

During the planning of the foods safety management system, the company has determined the issues under clause number 4.1 and the requirements under clause number 4.2 of this standard, as well as the risk and opportunity that are occurring. While achieving the improvements, these include enhancing favourable benefits and preventing and reducing unwanted impacts. The planning also guarantees that action is integrated and implemented into the FSMS processes, with effectiveness evaluated.

Objectives of the food safety management system and planning to achieve them (6.2 of ISO 22000):

The objectives for food safety have been specified and established, and they are in keeping with the strategic orientation. In addition, the business has a system in place to track and measure food safety goals. At the management review meeting, they analysed and examined the accomplishments of the food management system's goals.

**INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS CERTIFICATION SCHEME
STAGE II AUDIT REPORT – ISO 22000:2018****Planning of changes (6.3 of ISO 22000):**

The organization has a framework in place to determine the modifications that are required to the food safety management system and to implement them through planning, taking into account the need for change and the expected outcomes while maintaining the integrity of the FSMS.

19.4 Support (7 of ISO 22000):**Resources (7.1 of ISO 22000):****General (7.1.1 of ISO 22000):**

The organization has committed to establishing, implementing, maintaining, updating, and improving the FSMS, and has been given the resources to do so.

People (7.1.2 of ISO 22000):

The organization has also provided the personnel needed to efficiently implement the FSMS and related operations.

Infrastructure (7.1.3 of ISO 22000):

The company has constructed structures and provided space for its intended operation, as well as all necessary services such as electricity, water, and information and communication technology.

Work Environment (7.1.4 of ISO 22000):

The company has created the essential operating environment for a combination of physical and human requirements. For the operation, the company has provided adequate ventilation and lighting. The company also adheres to the sanitary regulations for both employees and visitors. The environment's general cleanliness has also been assured.

Externally developed elements of the food safety management system (7.1.5 of ISO 22000):

PRPs, Hazards analysis, and the hazard control plan were all created, managed, and updated by the company on its own.

Control of externally provided processes, products or services (7.1.6 of ISO 22000):

The company has created criteria for evaluating outsourced process providers. A questionnaire for evaluating suppliers is available.

Competence (7.2 of ISO 22000):

The need for training has been identified, and the effectiveness of the training provided has been assessed.

Awareness (7.3 of ISO 22000)

All employees were made aware of the organization's quality policies and objectives.

Communication (7.4 of ISO 22000):**General (7.4.1 of ISO 22000):**

The company has recognized and planned FSMS-related internal and external communication.

**INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS CERTIFICATION SCHEME
STAGE II AUDIT REPORT – ISO 22000:2018****External Communication (7.4.2 of ISO 22000):**

The company has efficiently provided enough information to external parties who are interested.

Internal Communication (7.4.3 of ISO 22000):

With respect to food safety, the organization has built an excellent communication system. Changes were communicated to the food safety staff in a timely way.

Documented Information (7.5 of ISO 22000):**General (7.5.1 of ISO 22000):****Creating and updating (7.5.2 of ISO 22000):****Control of documented information (7.5.3 of ISO 22000):**

The company has identified the documentation required by the standard, as well as the documents that must be used in order for the FSMS to be effective. For both documents and records, the company has built a formalized information control system. Distribution, retrieval, storage, and preservation, as well as change control, retention, and disposition are all covered. All required external documents have been identified and are under control.

19.5 Operation (8 of ISO 22000):**Operation planning and control (8.1 of ISO 22000):**

The processes required to control service and product provision have been planned, executed, and controlled by the company. The company has created applicable standards for processes and service and product acceptability. As well as controlling planned modifications, the organization has recognized that the repercussions of unexpected changes have been assessed, and efforts have been taken to reduce the same.

Pre-requisite programmes (PRPs) (8.2 of ISO 22000):

PRPs have been established and implemented in accordance with ISO 22000 8.2.2. However, following deviations have been observed.

- 1) The process of pest controlling is not effective. (8.2.4.d) (NC-02)
Eg: It was not covered on top of colour separatory machine
- 2) Company has not established PRPs to prevent or reduce the cross contaminations. (8.2.4.h) (NC-03)
Eg:
 - a) Glass bulbs are not covered at roller No.4, dryer room and packing area
 - b) Tiles are broken in dryer area and fermentation area
 - c) It was observed that tea is spread on floor for cooling without controlling cross contamination.

Traceability system (8.3 of ISO 22000):

Traceability procedure has been implemented.

Emergency preparedness and response (8.4 of ISO 22000):**General (8.4.1 of ISO 22000):**

**INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS CERTIFICATION SCHEME
STAGE II AUDIT REPORT – ISO 22000:2018****Handling of emergencies and incidents (8.4.2 of ISO 22000):**

A procedure has been designed and is being executed to deal with potential emergency situations and incidents that could affect food safety and are relevant to the organization's function in the food chain.

Hazard Control (8.5 of ISO 22000):**Preliminary steps to enable hazard analysis (8.5.1 of ISO 22000):****General (8.5.1.1 of ISO 22000):**

Hazard analysis is carried out and documented information is maintained.

Characteristics of raw materials, ingredients and product contact materials (8.5.1.2 of ISO 22000):

Raw material characteristics have been described. Food hazards have been documented in terms of their physical, chemical, and biological nature.

Characteristics of end products (8.5.1.3 of ISO 22000):

End-product characteristics have been described. Food dangers have been documented in terms of their physical, chemical, and biological nature.

Intended use (8.5.1.4 of ISO 22000):

Intended use of products has been addressed in the food safety manual.

Flow diagrams and description of processes (8.5.1.5 of ISO 22000):**Preparation of the flow diagrams (8.5.1.5.1 of ISO 22000):**

There were flow diagrams available for processes that encompassed the full process from receiving raw materials to dispatching the finished product, as well as the control methods.

On-site confirmation of flow diagrams (8.5.1.5.2 of ISO 22000):

The flow diagrams accuracy is verified by food safety team after onsite conformation and records are maintained.

Description of processes and process environment (8.5.1.5.3 of ISO 22000):

Company has maintained layout of premises with processing equipment and product flows.

Hazard analysis (8.5.2 of ISO 22000):**General (8.5.2.1 of ISO 22000):**

All significant data for the hazard analysis was collected, preserved, updated, and documented. There were records kept.

Hazard identification and determination of acceptable levels (8.5.2.2 of ISO 22000):

Company has identified possible hazards in throughout the food chain.

Hazard assessment (8.5.2.3 of ISO 22000):

Hazard assessment is carried out and identified significant hazards.



INDEXPO CERTIFICATION LIMITED

INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS CERTIFICATION SCHEME STAGE II AUDIT REPORT – ISO 22000:2018

Selection and categorization of control measure(s) (8.5.2.4 of ISO 22000):

Company has identified CCPs and OPRPs from the significant hazards. Control measures are taken to prevent or reduce the CCPs and OPRPs.

Validation of control measure(s) and combinations of control measures (8.5.3 of ISO 22000):

The food safety team has ensured that the control methods in place are capable of controlling the significant hazards as anticipated. CCPs, PRPs, and OPRPs all have clearly specified verification techniques. Verification procedures include document review, external lab testing, calibration, and internal audits. The responsibility holders verify the monitoring records.

Hazard control plan (HACCP/OPRP plan) (8.5.4 of ISO 22000):**General (8.5.4.1 of ISO 22000):**

Organization has implemented, maintained and documented a hazard control plan including food safety hazards, critical limits, monitoring procedure, action criteria for correction, responsibility and authority and record of monitoring.

Determination of critical limits and action criteria (8.5.4.2 of ISO 22000):

Company has identified critical limits for CCPs and action criteria for OPRPs.

Monitoring systems at CCPs and for OPRPs (8.5.4.3 of ISO 22000):

For CCPs and OPRPs, the company has set up monitoring systems. The frequency, responsibilities, and authority, as well as the results evaluation, have all been documented.

Actions when critical limits or action criteria are not met (8.5.4.4 of ISO 22000):

Company has specified corrections and corrective actions when critical limits and action criteria not meet.

Implementation of the hazard control plan (8.5.4.5 of ISO 22000):

Company has implemented and maintain document of hazard control plan.

Updating the information specifying the PRPs and the hazard control plan (8.6 of ISO 22000):

Preliminary data was recorded and updated as needed. When it comes to material and ingredient characteristics, final product qualities, intended use, and flow diagram.

Control of monitoring and measuring (8.7 of ISO 22000):

Company has provided adequate monitoring and measuring activities related to PRPs and hazards control plan.

Verification related to PRPs and the hazard control plan (8.8 of ISO 22000):**Verification (8.8.1 of ISO 22000):**

Even though the company has done verifications, company has not retained the verification results. Eg: Water test report and tea test report is not available at the time of the audit. (NC-04)

**INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS CERTIFICATION SCHEME
STAGE II AUDIT REPORT – ISO 22000:2018****Analysis of results of verification activities (8.8.2 of ISO 22000):**

Food safety team has conducted an analysis of result of verification results has used to evaluate performance of FSMS.

Control of product and process nonconformities (8.9 of ISO 22000):**General (8.9.1 of ISO 22000):****Corrections (8.9.2 of ISO 22000):**

Product deviated from the hazard control plan have been identified and controlled with regard to their use and release.

Corrective Actions (8.9.3 of ISO 22000):

To identify and eliminate the source of the observed nonconformity, appropriate steps have been defined. Information is documented and kept up to date.

Handling of potentially unsafe products (8.9.4 of ISO 22000):**General (8.9.4.1 of ISO 22000):****Evaluation for release (8.9.4.2 of ISO 22000):**

Company has identified and established a process to evaluate and disposition of non-conforming products.

Disposition of nonconforming products (8.9.4.3 of ISO 22000):

Appropriate actions have been specified to identify and eliminate the cause and detected non-conformity. Documented information is maintained.

Withdrawal/Recall (8.9.5 of ISO 22000):

Where it has been discovered as potentially unsafe, the company has guaranteed the timely withdrawal of lots of end goods that have been identified as potentially unsafe. The company has a mechanism in place for dealing with recalled products.

19.6 Performance evaluation (9 of ISO 22000):**Monitoring, measurement, analysis and evaluation (9.1 of ISO 22000):****General (9.1.1 of ISO 22000):**

Company has determined what needs to be monitored and measured, the methods for monitoring, measurement, analysis.

Analysis and evaluation (9.1.2):

The company has a procedure and method for evaluating PRPs and risks control plans, as well as the results of internal and external audits.

Internal Audit (9.2 of ISO 22000): The internal audit has been carried out according to the procedure and as at defined intervals by the responsible persons, defined scope, criteria. The reports of the NC raised during the internal audits has been completed with relevant corrective actions.



INDEXPO CERTIFICATION LIMITED

INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS CERTIFICATION SCHEME STAGE II AUDIT REPORT – ISO 22000:2018

Management Review (9.3 of ISO 22000):

General (9.3.1 of ISO 22000): Management review input (9.3.2 of ISO 22000): Management review output (9.3.3 of ISO 22000):

Management review has been conducted and the information was documented.

19.7 Improvement (10 of ISO 22000):

Nonconformity and corrective action (10.1 of ISO 22000):

Nonconformities have been addressed by the company, and corrective actions have been performed for such nonconformities. The company has kept documentation about the nature of the NC, as well as any subsequent actions and results of corrective action performed.

Continual improvement (10.2 of ISO 22000):

Company is committed to continually improve the effectiveness of the management system through the use of food safety policy, food safety objectives, audit results, analysis of data, management review, and corrective implementation.

Update of the food safety management system (10.3 of ISO 22000):

Top management reviewed the FST at specified intervals, establishing PRPs and updating the hazard control strategy.

20. KEY PERSONNEL INTERVIEWED:

Name:	Designation	Responsibilities
Mr. R. Samaranayake	Factory Manager	Factory management
Mr. Densil Wanigasekara	Factory officer	Factory operations
Mr. Krishantha	Clerk	Opertations
Mr. D.M.V. Dissanayake	Clerk	Administrations

21. APPLICABLE LEGAL REQUIREMENTS: Food act, Food hygiene regulation, Tea board guidelines and other relevant food regulations.

22. ANY UNRESOLVED ISSUES: No any unresolved issues noted during the audit.

23. OVERALL CONCLUSION OF THE AUDIT

Audit is based on a sampling process of the available information at the point of auditing and the audit methods used were interviews, observation of activities and review of documentation and records. With consideration to the findings identified on the report the overall conclusions of the audit are as follow:

- The management system documentation demonstrated conformity with the requirements of the audit standard and provided sufficient structure to support implementation and maintenance of the management system. YES NO

Doc. No.: QP-06-F-03

Issue No. : 09
Rev No: 04

Issue Date: 2016-
Rev Date: 2020-06-03

YES NO

Reviewed and approved by : Director

Issued by : Management Representative
Page 10 of 13

**INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS CERTIFICATION SCHEME
STAGE II AUDIT REPORT – ISO 22000:2018**

- The organization has demonstrated effective implementation and maintenance /improvement of its management system.
- The organization has demonstrated the establishment and tracking of appropriate key performance objectives and targets and monitored progress towards their achievement. YES NO
- The internal audit program has been fully implemented and demonstrates effectiveness as a tool for maintaining and improving the management system. YES NO
- The management review process demonstrated capability to ensure the continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness of the management system. YES NO
- Throughout the audit process, the management system demonstrated overall conformance with the requirements of the audit standard. YES NO

24. MAJOR NON-CONFORMITIES: None

25. MINOR NON-CONFORMITIES:

- 1) Even though food safety policies were provided and kept as documented information, food safety policy is not effectively communicated. (NC-01)
- 2) The process of pest controlling is not effective.
Eg: It was not covered on top of colour separatory machine (NC-02)
- 3) Company has not established PRPs to prevent or reduce the cross contaminations. (NC-03)
Eg:
 - a) Glass bulbs are not covered at roller No.4, dryer room and packing area
 - b) Tiles are broken in dryer area and fermentation area
 - c) It was observed that tea is spread on floor for cooling without controlling cross contamination.
- 4) Company has not retained the verification results.
Eg: Water test report and tea test report is not available at the time of the audit. (NC-04)

26. OBSERVATIONS: None



INDEXPO CERTIFICATION LIMITED

INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS CERTIFICATION SCHEME STAGE II AUDIT REPORT – ISO 22000:2018

27. RECOMENDATION FROM AUDIT TEAM: (Strike off which is not relevant)

The audit team concludes that the organization has / ~~has not~~ established and maintained its management system in line with the requirements of the standard and demonstrated the ability of the system to systematically achieve agreed requirements for products / ~~services~~ within the scope and the organization's policy and objectives.

Therefore the audit team recommends that, based on the results of this audit and the system's demonstrated state of development and maturity, management system certification be:

Granted / ~~continued~~ the certification subjected to the completion and subsequent verification of corrective action for all ~~major~~/minor non-conformities raised / ~~Suspended~~ until satisfactory corrective action is completed.

ANY OTHER COMENTS:

Signature of Team Leader: Date:



INDEXPO CERTIFICATION LIMITED

INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS CERTIFICATION SCHEME STAGE II AUDIT REPORT – ISO 22000:2018

• This page is for internal purposes only.

28. RECOMMENDATION BY AUDIT TEAM:

Recommended for certification, subject to verification of corrective action taken for raised non-conformities.

..... Signature of Team Leader 2021-10-30 Date
..... Signature of Team Member -1 Date
..... Signature of Team Member - 2 Date

29. RECOMMENDATION BY CERTIFICATION MANAGER:

All NCRs have been closed. Hence recommended for certification. 2021/11/30

..... Signature of Certification Manager 2021/11/30 Date
---	-----------------------------

30. APPROVAL FOR SUBMISSION TO THE CERTIFICATION COMMITTEE:

Appd

..... Signature of Director 2021/11/30 Date
--------------------------------	-----------------------------

