IND-EXPO CERTIFICATION LIMITED

INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS CERTIFICATION SCHEME

STAGE I AUDIT REPORT

ISO 22000:2005

(Nature's Wellness Pvt Ltd)

STAGE I AUDIT REPORT –ISO 22000:2005

1. NAME OF ORGANIZATION : Nature's Wellness Pvt Ltd

2. ADDRESS OF HEAD OFFICE : 633-A2, Daisy Mawatha , Pita Kotte, Sri Lanka

3. FACTORY/LOCATIONS/SITES/OUTLETS : Thalgahapoththa road, Bibiladeniya, Udubaddawe, Sri Lanka

4. CONTACT DETAILS

4.1 Name : Mr.A.S.L Wickremasinghe **Designation** : Managing Director

4.2 Tel : **Mob:** +940772260535 **Fax** : +94112865286

E-mail : sardha_wick@hotmail.com

5. APPLICABLE STANDARD : ISO 22000:2005

6. FILE NO. : IMSC-FSMS- 49

7. SCOPE OF CERTIFICATION : Manufacturing of Virgin Coconut oil

8. APPLICABLE SECTOR : C IV –Processing of ambient stable products

10. NO. OF EMPLOYEES : 25

11. DATE OF AUDIT : 2017-02-14

12. TYPE OF AUDIT : Stage I

13. AUDIT TEAM :
Mr.Aruna Amaradasa Team Leader

14. AUDIT OBJECTIVES:

The objectives of this audit were:

- to confirm that the management system has been planned to conform with all the requirements of the audit standard including availability of documented information required;
- to understand the scope of the management system of the client with regards to operational sites, processes, equipment and the complexity of the operation(levels of controls established particularly in case of multiple site), applicable statutory, regulatory and contractual requirements;
- to confirm the readiness and planned arrangements for the Stage 2 audit.

15. FINDINGS OF THE AUDIT:

SERIAL NO.	RELEVANT CLAUSE IN ISO 22000:2005	FINDING	REMARKS
1	7.2.3 a, g	Nuts delivering area between nut shed and hatcheting line was in sandy condition	Corrected
2	7.2.3. a	Logical flow of nut shells not designed for easy removal from the section	Corrected
3	7.2.3 a	Detail of lay out are not sufficient. Product flow and workers movement is not depicted.	Corrected
4	7.4.2.1	The water of the tub washer tainted with coconut milk but it was not identified in hazard analysis	Corrected
5	7.5 a)	Food safety hazards are not identified in the OPRP plan	Corrected
6	7.5 f)	Record of monitoring to OPRP 1 is not identified	Corrected
7	7.6.1 a)	Food safety hazard are not identified in the CCP plan	corrected
8	7.2.3 i)	Windows were not protected by fly proof wire meshes	Corrected
9	7.9	Nut suppliers cannot be traced out in the system	corrected
10	5.7	Some employees has not been	

		worn goggles	corrected
11	7.2.3	Gunny bag with green ink is used to support pairing	corrected
12	7.2.3	Powder material which is used to sharp the knife is available paring area	corrected
13	7.2.3	Shooters has been kept open	corrected
14	7.8	Finished product has not been tested for specification	corrected
15	7.3.5	Step 35, draining oil from filter cloth is not mentioned in flow diagram	corrected
16		EPL is not available	

16. ANY DEVIATIONS FROM THE AUDIT PLAN AND REASONS: None

17. ANY SIGNIFICANT ISSUES IMPACTING ON THE AUDIT PROGRAMME:

18. OVERALL CONCLUTIONS:

- The Stage 1 audit was successful in meeting the stated objectives: YES ☒ NO ☐
  If NO please mention the reasons:

Audit has been carried out on sample basis and the available information. consideration to the findings identified on the report the overall conclusions of the audit are as follow:

- The management system has been planned to conform with all the requirements of the audit standard: YES ☒ NO ☐
- The management system is designed to achieve the organization's policy and food safety objectives: YES ☒ NO ☐
- Based on the information provided, the system is designed to identify and manage compliance with statutory, regulatory and contractual requirements: YES ☒ NO ☐
- The Prerequisite programs (PRP(s)) are sufficiently established: YES ☐ NO ☒
- Methodology and parameters used for selection/categorization between OPRP and CCP are specified in documents and monitoring record are available: YES ☒ NO ☐

- Verification, validation of appropriate controls have been done: YES ☒ NO ☐
- The internal audit and management review planning assure appropriate coverage prior to the Stage 2 Audit: YES ☒ NO ☐
- Appropriateness of the certification scope YES ☒ NO ☐

Signature of Team Leader : Aruna Amaradasa Date: 2017-02-14

- Page 05 of 05 is for internal purposes only.

1. RECOMMENDATION BY AUDIT TEAM :

Recommended for Stage 2 audit subject to rectifying the findings of the Stage 1 audit.

..... 2017/02/14
Signature of Team Leader Date

....
Signature of Team Member -1 Date

....
Signature of Team Member - 2 Date

2. RECOMMENDATION BY CERTIFICATION MANAGER :

Recommend to Stage II audit base on confirmation given by client.

..... 2017/02/28
Signature of Certification Manager Date